Tutorial 4

Exercise 1: Consider the following context-free grammar:

$$\begin{array}{rcl} S & \longrightarrow & aBb \mid AB \\ A & \longrightarrow & bAb \mid a \\ B & \longrightarrow & \epsilon \mid aABb \end{array}$$

- a) Give (some) derivation of word babaab in this grammar.
- b) Draw the corrensponding derivation tree.
- c) Write the left and right derivations corresponding to the derivation tree drawn in the previous point.

Exercise 2: Construct context-free grammars for all following languages:

- $L_1 = \{w \in \{a, b, c\}^* \mid w \text{ contains subword } babb\}$
- $L_2 = \{0^n 1^m \mid 1 \le n < m\}$
- $L_3 = \{a^n b^m a^{n+2} \mid m, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $L_4 = \{ w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid w = w^R \}$
- $L_5 = \{w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid |w|_0 > 1, |w|_1 \le 2\}$
- $L_6 = \{0^n w w^R 1^n \mid w \in \{0, 1\}^*, n \in \mathbb{N}\}$
- $L_7 = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid \text{in } w, \text{ every } a \text{ is directly followed by } b, \text{ or } w = b^n a^m, \text{ where } 0 \le m \le n\}$
- $L_8 = \{uv^Rv \mid u, v \in \{0, 1\}^*, |u|_0 \mod 4 = 2, u \text{ ends with suffix 101 and } v \text{ contains subword 10}\}$
- $L_9 = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R, |w| \mod 4 = 0\}$
- $L_{10} = \{w \in \{a, b\}^* \mid w = w^R, |w| \mod 3 = 0\}$
- $L_{11} = \{w \in \{a, b, c\}^* | \text{ every sequence of } a$'s is directly followed by a sequence of b's, which is twice as long}
- $L_{12} = \{ w \in \{0, 1\}^* \mid |w|_0 = |w|_1 \}$

Exercise 3: Decide for the following pairs of grammars if both grammars generate the same language. Justify your answers.

a)	$S \longrightarrow aaSbb \mid ab \mid aabb$	$S \longrightarrow aSb \mid ab$
b)	$S \longrightarrow aaSbb \mid ab \mid \epsilon$	$S \longrightarrow aSb \mid ab$
c)	$S \longrightarrow aaSb \mid ab \mid \epsilon$	$S \longrightarrow aSb \mid aab \mid \epsilon$

Exercise 4: Construct a context-free grammar for the language L over the alphabet $\Sigma = \{(,), [,]\}$ consisting of all "correctly parenthesized" expressions. As correctly parenthesized

expressions we consider those sequences of symbols where each left parenthesis has a corresponding right parenthesis of the same type, and where parenthesis do not "cross" (i.e., coresponding pairs of parenthesis are composed correctly).

Exercise 5: Is the following grammar unambiguous?

$$\begin{array}{rcl} E & \longrightarrow & E + E \mid F \\ F & \longrightarrow & (E) \mid F \times F \mid a \end{array}$$

Exercise 6: Propose a syntax for writing simple arithmetic expressions as words over the alphabet

```
\Sigma = \{A, B, \dots, Z, a, b, \dots, z, 0, 1, \dots, 9, ., +, -, *, /, (,)\}.
```

and describe the proposed syntax by a context-free grammar.

Exercise 7: Construct a context-free grammar generating the set of all well-formed formulas of the propositional logic. Consider the set $At = \{x_0, x_1, x_2, ...\}$ as the set of atomic propositions, where individual variables can be written as $x_0, x_1, x_2, ...$

- a) Find out if the grammar you have constructed is unambiguous.
- b) If the grammar is ambiguous then modify it to be unambiguous.
- c) Modify your grammar in such a way, which ensures that a structure of a derivation tree for an arbitrary derivation in the grammar reflects the "real" priority of logical connectives, i.e.. ¬, ∧, ∨, →, ↔ (from the highest to the lowest).