

Exercise 8 (resolution method)

Using resolution method, decide whether the following arguments are valid

a) If I am good, I'll get an iPhone.

I am be good.

I get an iPhone.

b) Who is good gets an iPhone.

John is good.

John gets an iPhone.

c) He attends a lecture or is wandering around the school.

If he attends a lecture, then he is a good student.

If he is not a good student, then he is wandering around the school

d) John attends a lecture or is wondering around the school.

Who attends a lecture is a good student.

If John is not a good student, then he is wondering around the school.

e) If Pavel has a car, then Quido has a car.

Pavel does not have a car.

If Pavel does not have a car, then Quido does not have a car.

f) It is not true that the student knows Java and C++.

The student does not know Java.

Student does not know C++.

g) If the program does not work, there is an error in the program or the system is not working properly.

If there is an error in the program, I need to consult a trouble-shooter.

The program is OK.

If the program does not work, I have to consult the trouble-shooter.

h) If the engine is not running, there is a fault in the engine or there is no electricity supply.

If there is a fault in the engine, a repairman must be called.

There is an electricity supply.

If the engine is not running, a repairman must be called.

- i) I watch football and drink beer.
I do not drink beer.
It is raining outside.
- j) Everybody who is not sick is at work.
Somebody is not at work.
Somebody is tired or sick.
- k) Everybody who knows Jane and Peter is sorry for Jane.
Some are not sorry for Jane though they know her.
Somebody knows Jane but not Peter.
- a) No one who is claustrophobic can work as a liftboy.
All climbers are claustrophobic.
Therefore no climber can work as a liftboy.

Using resolution method prove the following tautologies.

- a) $(p \wedge \neg q) \equiv \neg(p \supset q)$
- b) $\neg(p \wedge q) \equiv (\neg p \vee \neg q)$
- c) $\forall x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \equiv [\forall x P(x) \wedge \forall x Q(x)]$
- d) $\forall x P(x) \vee \forall x Q(x) \supset \forall x [P(x) \vee Q(x)]$
- e) $\exists x [P(x) \wedge Q(x)] \supset [\exists x P(x) \wedge \exists x Q(x)]$

Unify the following literals

- a) $P(x, y); \neg P(z, g(t))$
- b) $P(f(x), z, g(y, a)); \neg P(y, x, g(f(a), z))$
- c) $P(x, b, f(x)); \neg P(a, y, f(y))$
- d) $P(x, f(x, z), h(a)); \neg P(y, f(y, y), w)$
- e) $P(x, f(y), z); \neg P(f(u), v, f(w))$